SR 7 Multimodal Improvements Corridor Study

TECHNICAL APPENDIX G: PROJECT PRIORITIZATION

REVISED FINAL





For complaints, questions or concerns about civil rights or nondiscrimination; or for special requests under the Americans with Disabilities Act, please contact:

Christopher Ryan, Public Information Officer/Title VI Coordinator at

(954) 876-0036 or ryanc@browardmpo.org



Table of Contents

| Introduction | 1 |
|---|----|
| Prioritization Process | 1 |
| Prioritization Application | 4 |
| | |
| List of Tables | |
| Table 1: Network Connectivity Project Prioritization Factors, Criteria, and Weights | 2 |
| Table 2: Network Connectivity Project Prioritization Application (Criteria A-H) | 6 |
| Table 3: Network Connectivity Project Prioritization Application (Criteria I-K) | 9 |
| Table 4: Network Connectivity Project Prioritization Scoring | 15 |



INTRODUCTION

Chapter 5 documents the prioritized list of network connectivity projects included in the implementation plan developed for this study. This technical appendix provides more detail regarding the application of the different prioritization criteria.

PRIORITIZATION PROCESS

As documented in Chapter 5, the network connectivity projects were prioritized through a point-based system to determine the relative priority of each project based on the following factors:

- > Traffic characteristics and quality of existing multimodal facilities
- > Demand potential
- > Completion of a critical network link
- > Anticipated safety benefits
- > Relationship to Environmental Justice Target Areas
- > Presence of sufficient right-of-way (ROW)
- > Impacts to existing infrastructure
- > Required community input and stakeholder coordination

These factors, criteria and weights are summarized in Table 1.



Table 1: Network Connectivity Project Prioritization Factors, Criteria, and Weights

| Index | Prioritization Factor | | Criteria | Points | Max |
|----------|--|------------|--|--------|-----|
| | | | Arterial street | 5 | |
| ٨ | | way | High-volume collector (>8,000 ADT) | 3 | |
| Α | | Roadway | Lower-volume collector (<8,000 ADT) | 2 | |
| | | | Local street | 1 | |
| | Traffic Characteristics and Quality of Existing Multimodal Facilities: Projects along higher-volume, higher-speed roadways are more essential than projects along lower-speed, lower- | | No sidewalks or substantially incomplete | 5 | |
| В | volume roadways where it is less dangerous to walk or ride a bicycle along the roadside. Projects to provide sidewalks, marked bicycle lanes, or multi-use trails along or across roadways with no | trian | Contiguous sidewalk on one side only | 3 | 50 |
| D | pedestrian or bicycle facilities are, all else being equal, prioritized above projects to enhance | Pedestrian | Trail/multiuse pathway | 2 | 50 |
| | roadways with partial facilities (e.g., wide outside lanes for cyclists or sidewalks along one side of the street). | ۵ | Complete sidewalks on both sides of the road | 0 | |
| | | | No bicycle facilities | 5 | |
| С | | cle | Un-marked shoulder | 3 | |
| C | | Bicycle | Trail/multiuse pathway | 1 | |
| | | | Bicycle lanes | 0 | |
| | | | Mobility Hub | 5 | |
| D | | sit | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | |
| U | Demand Detection Desirate in high an description and the transition are at Mahilita Hole and | Transit | Local/community bus route | 1 | |
| | Demand Potential: Projects in higher-density areas that provide access to Mobility Hubs or higher-frequency transit routes are more likely to provide a safety, congestion management, | | No transit service nearby | 0 | 25 |
| | and/or livability benefit than projects that serve lower-density areas and do not connect to transit. | | High (> 40 persons + jobs / acre) | 5 | 23 |
| E | | nsity | Medium (31–40 persons + jobs / acre) | 3 | |
| - | | Dens | Low (11–30 persons + jobs / acre) | 2 | |
| | | | Very Low (≤ 10 persons + jobs / acre | 1 | |
| | Critical Link: Projects that provide for multimodal connectivity or address congestion issues | Cross | es limited access highway or water body or direct connection to transit stop | 5 | |
| F | where alternative routes are not available are a higher priority than enhancements that | Neigh | nborhood connectivity | 3 | 5 |
| | complement adequate existing routes. | None | —facility complemented by other routes | 0 | |
| | Safety Benefit: Projects that directly address a documented traffic crash issue are a higher | Addre | esses documented crash issue | 5 | |
| G | priority than projects that implement safety best practices or are not relevant to improving | Safet | y best practice—arterial street | 3 | 5 |
| | safety for all road users | Safet | y best practice—collector street | 1 | |



| Index | Prioritization Factor | Criteria | Points | Max |
|-------|--|---|--------|-----|
| | | High percent disadvantaged population (>20%) | 5 | |
| н | Environmental Justice (EJ): Projects that serve disadvantaged populations are prioritized above projects where environmental justice populations are not as prevalent. | Medium percent disadvantaged population (5 – 20%) | 3 | 5 |
| | | Percent disadvantaged population (< 5%) | 0 | |
| | | Sufficient right-of-way to construct project | 5 | |
| ı | Sufficient Right-of-Way (ROW): Projects with sufficient right-of-way are prioritized higher as they will have less cost impacts and time delays than projects with insufficient or gaps in right- | Minor modifications to project design or further review needed to address minor gaps in existing right-of-way | 3 | 5 |
| | of-way. | More significant evaluation likely needed to address right-of-way issues (but not considered a 'fatal flaw') | 1 | |
| | | No identified infrastructure conflicts | 5 | |
| J | Impacts to Existing Infrastructure: Projects that will not impact existing infrastructure (drainage, utilities, driveways, trees, etc.) are prioritized higher as they will have less cost impacts and time | Minor infrastructure conflicts identified | 3 | 5 |
| | delays than projects where infrastructure conflicts must be addressed. | More considerable infrastructure conflicts identified (but not considered a 'fatal flaw') | 1 | |
| | | Minor levels of community input and additional stakeholder coordination may be needed to advance project | 5 | |
| К | Community Input and Stakeholder Coordination: Projects that do not require community input or stakeholder coordination outside of the typical project development process are prioritized higher as they are likely to have less cost impacts and time delays than projects where | Moderate levels of community outreach and stakeholder coordination is anticipated | 3 | 5 |
| | additional community input must be collected and addressed. | More significant community outreach and stakeholder coordination is anticipated to address such issues as access management, design preference, infrastructure impact mitigation, etc.) | 1 | |



For each project recommendation, points were assigned to each prioritization criteria to determine the relative priority of each project based on the factors, criteria and weights previously summarized in Table 1.

The total (105 maximum) points for each project are calculated using the following formula:

$$[A \times (B + C)] + [D \times E] + [F + G] + [H + I + J + K]$$

or

[Traffic Characteristics x (Existing Pedestrian + Existing Bicycle)]

+

[Transit Service x Population & Employment Density]

+

[Critical Link + Safety Benefit + Environmental Justice]

+

[Sufficient ROW + Infrastructure Impacts + Community Input/Stakeholder Coordination]

PRIORITIZATION APPLICATION

Table 2 documents the application of prioritization criteria A-H, while Table 3 documents the application of prioritization criteria I-K. Table 4 documents the calculation of the total points assigned to each project and the ranking of each project based on the total points awarded.



Table 2: Network Connectivity Project Prioritization Application (Criteria A-H)

| | | | | | | | | | | Prioritization Criter | ria (N | lotes/Score) | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---|
| Project # | City | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | A – Roadway | B - Pedestrian | | C - Bicyo | cle | D - Transit Demand | ıd | E - Density | / | F - Critical Link | k | G - Safety Ben | nefit | H – Environme Justice | |
| 1 | Hollywood | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 1.50 | Taft St (from SR 7 to N 40th Ave) | Collector High Volume (>8,000 ADT) | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit 3 Corridor | . | Low (11-30) | 2 | Neighborhood Connectivity | 3 | Safety Best Practice Collector | 5 | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 2 | West Park, Miramar | Provide shared lane arrows and bicycle lanes | 1.70 | SW 25th St (from SW 62nd Ave to SW 40th Ave) | Local Street 1 | None/ Substantially Incomplete | 5 | None | 5 | Premium Transit 3 Corridor | | Low (11-30) | 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | 5 | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 3 | West Park, Pembroke Park | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 2.15 | Countyline Rd (from SW 68th Ln to SW 48th Ave) | Arterial 5 | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit 3 Corridor | | Low (11-30) | 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | 5 | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 4 | Dania Beach, Hollywood | Reconstruct median and modify lane markings to for bicycle keyholes | 0.20 | Griffin Rd (from SR 7 to SW 44th Ave | Removed from prior | tization process due t | o find | ing of insuffi | icient | right-of-way to const | truct p | project. | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Davie | Construct a path along the center median of SR 7 between Oakes Rd and the New River Greenway | 0.90 | SR 7 (from Oakes Rd/SW 36th St to New River Greenway Trail) | Arterial 5 | None/ Substantially Incomplete | 5 | None | 5 | Premium Transit 3 Corridor | | Very Low (<10) | 1 | Neighborhood Connectivity | 3 | Addresses Crash Issue | 5 | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 6 | Lauderdal e Lakes | Provide mid-block crossing at the C-13 Greenway Canal Trail | < 0.10 | SR 7 at the C-13 Greenway | Removed from prior | tization process since | proje | ct is already | progr | ammed for funding (ii | nclud | ded as #7 in Ch | apter | 4, Table 4-2). | | | | | |
| 7 | Fort Lauderdal e, North Lauderdal e | Eliminate 3rd eastbound lane to NW 38th Ave and widen pavement from NW 38th to NW 31st to provide bicycle lanes | 1.00 | W Prospect Rd (from SR 7 to NW 31st Ave) | Local Street 1 | None/ Substantially Incomplete | 5 | None | 5 | Premium Transit 3 Corridor | ı | Low (11-30) | 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | 5 | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 8 | Lauderhill | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 0.55 | NW 16th St (from NW 47th Ave to SR 7) | Local Street 1 | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit 3 Corridor | ı | Low (11-30) | 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | 5 | High (>30%) | 5 |
| 9 | Lauderhill | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 0.60 | NW 19th St (from NW 47th Ave to SR 7) | Collector High Volume 3 (>8,000 ADT) | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit 3 Corridor | ı | Low (11-30) | 2 | Neighborhood Connectivity | 3 | Safety Best Practice Collector | 5 | High (>30%) | 5 |



| | | | | | Prioritization Criteria (Notes/Score) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|------------------------------|---|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|
| Project # | City | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | A - Roadwa | ny | B - Pedestrian | | C - Bicycl | e | D - Transit Den | nand | E - Density | F - Critical Link | (| G - Safety Benefit | H - Environn Justi | nental |
| 10 | Lauderhill, Lauderdale Lakes | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 0.87 | NW 26th St (from NW 49th Ave to SR 7) | Collector High Volume (>8,000 ADT) | 3 | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | High (>30%) | 5 |
| 11 | Lauderhill, Plantation | Continue trail to NW 31st Ave and enhance SR 7 crossing | 1.10 | Sunrise Blvd Canal (from SR 7 to SW 31st Ave) | Arterial | 5 | Both Sides | 0 | Bicycle Lanes | 0 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Safety Best Practice 3 Arterial | High (>30%) | 5 |
| 12 | Margate | Provide 12' sidewalks | 1.60 | SR 7 (from Seton Dr to NW 31st St) | Arterial | 5 | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 13 | Margate | Provide protected bicycle lane with landscaped buffer | 0.40 | SR 7 (from Merrill Rd to Seton Dr) | Arterial | 5 | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 14 | Margate, Coconut Creek | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 1.00 | Copans Rd (from SR 7 to Lyons Rd) | Arterial | 5 | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 15 | Margate | Widen pavement to provide bicycle lanes | 0.40 | Coconut Creek Pkwy (from SR 7 to Banks Rd) | Removed from | priorit | tization process as su | bsequ | ent field revie | w id | entified existing b | oicycle | lanes within this sect | ion. | | | | |
| 16 | North Lauderdale | Use a road diet to provide bicycle lanes; potential roundabout at SW 64th | 2.10 | Kimberly Blvd (from SW 81st Ave to SR 7) | Collector High Volume (>8,000 ADT) | 3 | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 17 | Margate | Widen pavement for bicycle lanes or shared lane arrows and widen sidewalks | 0.75 | SW 11th St (from SR 7 to SW 49th Ter) | Local Street | 1 | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Very Low (<10) | Neighborhood Connectivity | 3 | Addresses Crash Issue | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 18 | Fort Lauderdale, North Lauderdale | Widen pavement to provide bicycle lanes | 1.00 | W Prospect Rd (from SR 7 to NW 31st Ave) | Removed from | priorit | tization process as thi | is proj | ect is a duplic | cate 1 | o Project #7. | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Margate | Add a mid-block crossing with pedestrian hybrid beacon for multi-use trail and widen sidewalks | 0.10 | SR 7 at Cypress Creek Greenway/C-14 Canal | Arterial | 5 | None/ Substantially Incomplete | 5 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Very Low (<10) | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Safety Best Practice 3 Arterial | High (>30%) | 5 |
| 20 | Davie | Construct sidewalk on east side of SR 7, sidewalk exists on west | 0.65 | SR 7 (from SW 45th St to Oakes Rd/SW 36th St) | Arterial | 5 | One Side Only | 3 | Bicycle Lanes | 0 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Very Low (<10) | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Addresses Crash Issue | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |



| | | | Project | | | | | | | | Prioritization C | riteria | (Notes/Score) | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|-------------------|---|--|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|------------------|-------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| Project # | City | Project Description | Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | A - Roadwa | ay | B - Pedestrian | | C - Bicycle | е | D - Transit Der | nand | E - Density | | F - Critical Linl | k | G - Safety Bei | nefit | H - Environn Justi | mental |
| 21 | Davie | Construct wide sidewalk along north side of road | 0.45 | SW 45th St (from the Turnpike to SR 7) | Local Street | 1 | None/ Substantially Incomplete | 5 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) | 2 | Neighborhood Connectivity | 3 | None | 0 | Medium (10%- 30%) | 3 |
| 22 | Miramar | Complete gaps to provide sidewalk on north side of road | 0.50 | SW 25th St (from SW 64th Ave to SR 7) | Local Street | 1 | None/ Substantially Incomplete | 5 | None | 5 | Local/ Community Bus | 1 | Low (11-30) | 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | None | 0 | High (>30%) | 5 |
| 23 | West Park | Delineate sidewalk from paved parking along north side of road | 0.13 | Hallandale Beach Blvd (from Edmund Rd to SW 58th Ave) | Arterial | 5 | One Side Only | 3 | Bicycle Lanes | 0 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) | 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Safety Best Practice Arterial | 3 | High (>30%) | 5 |
| 24 | Miramar | Complete sidewalk along north side of road | 0.25 | SW 33rd St (from SW 62nd Ave to SR 7) | Local Street | 1 | None/ Substantially Incomplete | 5 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) | 2 | Neighborhood Connectivity | 3 | Addresses Crash Issue | 5 | High (>30%) | 5 |
| 25 | Fort Lauderdale, North Lauderdale | Complete sidewalk along south side of road | 0.25 | W Prospect Rd (from SR 7 to NW 36th Ave) | Collector High Volume (>8,000 ADT) | 3 | None/ Substantially Incomplete | 5 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Very Low (<10) | 1 | Neighborhood Connectivity | 3 | Addresses Crash Issue | 5 | High (>30%) | 5 |
| 26 | Plantation | Provide mid-block pedestrian hybrid beacon, median modifications, and bus stop relocation | 0.10 | SR 7 (north of Broward Boulevard) | Arterial | 5 | Both Sides | 0 | None | 5 | Premium Transit Corridor | 3 | Low (11-30) | 2 | Limited Access/Water Crossing | 5 | Safety best practice arterial | 3 | High (>30%) | 5 |
| 26A | North Lauderdale | Sidewalk on north side connects to SR 7 via Blvd of Champions | 0.11 | W McNab Rd (from SW 66th Ave to SR 7) | Removed from and driveway a | • | tization process as the points. | ere is i | insufficient rig | jht-c | of-way to construc | t this ¡ | project and constru | uctio | on would result in s | sign | ificant impacts t | to exi | sting utiliti | ies |
| 27 | Fort Lauderdale, North Lauderdale, Broward County | Sidewalk on south side; connects to SR 7 via ramp sidewalk | 0.70 | W McNab Rd/NW 62nd St (from NW 35th Ave to SR 7) | Removed from | priorit | tization process as su | bsequ | ent field revie | w id | entified an existir | ng cond | crete sidewalk as r | recon | mmended. | | | | | |



Table 3: Network Connectivity Project Prioritization Application (Criteria I-K)

| Dura's set | | | Project | | | | Prioritization Criteria (Notes/Score) | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|--|----------|---|---------|--|----|
| Project Reference | City | Project Description | Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | I - ROW | | J - Infrastructure Impacts | | K - Community & Stakeholder Inpu | ıt |
| 1 | Hollywood | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 1.50 | Taft St (from SR 7 to N 40th Ave) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build bicycle lanes except for the approach to N 56th Avenue. Might need to consider alternative transitions at this location. | 3 | If widening towards the outside, the addition of bicycle lanes will impact existing landscape (mature trees) on the shoulder of the existing road. Addition of bicycle lanes will reduce the existing drainage storage area which will need to be mitigated by the design team. | 3 | Coordination with South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. Public outreach will be necessary as the addition of bicycle lanes will impact many private driveways within the proposed project limits. | 3 |
| 2 | West Park, Miramar | Provide shared lane arrows and bicycle lanes | 1.70 | SW 25th St (from SW 62nd Ave to SW 40th Ave) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build bicycle lanes. | 5 | | 5 | | 5 |
| 3 | West Park, Pembroke Park | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 2.15 | Countyline Rd (from SW 68th Ln to SW 48th Ave) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build bicycle lanes except for the approach to NW 13th Ct. Might need to consider alternative transitions at this location. Additionally, there are a few areas where the design team might need to combine lane width reduction and widening to achieve the desired typical section. | 5 | Addition of bicycle lanes will reduce the existing drainage storage area which will need to be mitigated by the design team. | 3 | Project may require coordination with FDOT District 6 as it appeared that they have significant jurisdiction over Countyline Road as per Broward County Property Appraisers maps. Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. Public outreach will be necessary as the addition of bicycle lanes will impact many private driveways east of SR 7. | 3 |
| 4 | Dania Beach, Hollywood | Reconstruct median and modify lane markings to for bicycle keyholes | 0.20 | Griffin Rd (from SR 7 to SW 44th Ave | Removed from prioritization process du | e to fin | ding of insufficient right-of-way to construct pr | oject. | | |
| 5 | Davie | Construct a path along the center median of SR 7 between Oakes Rd and the New River Greenway | 0.90 | SR 7 (from Oakes Rd/SW 36th St to New River Greenway Trail) | | 3 | Mechanically Stabilized Earth (MSE) walls likely needed. | 1 | Significant FDOT coordination required to design path in median. | 1 |
| 6 | Lauderdale Lakes | Provide mid-block crossing at the C-13 Greenway Canal Trail | < 0.10 | SR 7 at the C-13 Greenway | Removed from prioritization process sir | ice proj | ect is already programmed for funding (include | d as #7 | 7 in Chapter 4, Table 4-2). | |



| Duning to 1 | City | Desired Description | Project | O. Street (France 77.) | | | Prioritization Criteria (Notes/Score) | | | |
|-------------|--|---|-------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|----|
| Project # | City | Project Description | Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | I - ROW | | J - Infrastructure Impacts | | K - Community & Stakeholder Inpu | ıt |
| 7 | Fort Lauderdale, North Lauderdale | Eliminate 3rd eastbound lane to NW 38th Ave and widen pavement from NW 38th to NW 31st to provide bicycle lanes | 1.00 | W Prospect Rd (from SR 7 to NW 31st Ave) | Existing right-of-way appears limited near SR 7. | 1 | Addition of bicycle lanes will reduce the existing drainage storage area which will need to be mitigated by the design team. Special consideration should be given to the east-bound thru and exclusive right-turn lanes, as the addition of bicycle lanes will eliminate the majority, if not all, of the existing drainage storage at this location. Utility relocation of existing poles will most likely be required. | 1 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. | 3 |
| 8 | Lauderhill | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 0.55 | NW 16th St (from NW 47th Ave to SR 7) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build bicycle lanes. | 5 | If widening towards the outside, addition of bicycle lanes will reduce the existing drainage storage area which will need to be mitigated by the design team. If widening to the outside existing parking, backing into NW 16thStreet, between NW 43 Ter and NW 43 Ave will be impacted. If widening towards the outside, the addition of bicycle lanes will impact existing landscape (mature trees) on the shoulder of the existing road. Widening to the outside to fit the bicycle lane will affect three (3) bus stop pads by reducing their area and might necessitate the removal of the existing benches due to horizontal clearance issues. If widening to the inside, it is possible that a design variation would be needed for median width and/or horizontal clearance to existing trees. | 3 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. Public outreach will be necessary as the addition of bicycle lanes will impact many private driveways within the proposed project limits. | 3 |



| During at # | City | Paris de Prominsion | Project | Ou Store at (Foreign (Fr.) | | | Prioritization Criteria (Notes/Score) | | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|----|
| Project # | City | Project Description | Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | I - ROW | | J - Infrastructure Impacts | | K - Community & Stakeholder Inpu | ut |
| 9 | Lauderhill | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 0.60 | NW 19th St (from NW 47th Ave to SR 7) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build bicycle lanes except for a notable pinch point at the intersection of SR 7. | 5 | Widening for bicycle lanes will require the modification of two box culverts within the project limits. This will require coordination and permitting from SFWMD. If widening towards the outside, addition of bicycle lanes will reduce the existing drainage storage area which will need to be mitigated by the design team. If widening towards the outside, it is likely that existing utility poles will be impacted. If widening towards the outside, the addition of bicycle lanes will impact existing landscape (mature trees) on the shoulder of the existing road. If widening to the inside, it is possible that a design variation would be needed for median width and/or horizontal clearance to existing trees. | 3 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. Public outreach will be necessary as the addition of bicycle lanes will impact many private driveways within the proposed project limits. | 3 |
| 10 | Lauderhill, Lauderdale Lakes | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 0.87 | NW 26th St (from NW 49th Ave to SR 7) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build bicycle lanes except for a pinch point at the approach to SR 7. Might need to consider alternative transitions at this location. | 3 | Addition of bicycle lanes will reduce the existing drainage storage area which will need to be mitigated by the design team. It is likely that existing utility poles will be impacted, particularly those located near the intersection of SR 7. | 3 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. Public outreach will be necessary as the addition of bicycle lanes will impact many private driveways within the proposed project limits. | 3 |
| 11 | Lauderhill, Plantation | Continue trail to NW 31st Ave and enhance SR 7 crossing | 1.10 | Sunrise Blvd Canal (from SR 7 to SW 31st Ave) | The available land to construct a trail is owned by SFWMD. This project is contingent on coordination with SFWMD to obtain the needed easement to construct the C-14 trail. | 3 | Directed trail crossing, such as a midblock signal, might not be possible due to the proximity of the existing signalized intersection of Sunrise Blvd. | 3 | This project is contingent on obtaining the necessary environmental permits and public support. Public outreach will be necessary as the continuation of the trail will be within close proximity of many private backyards. | 3 |
| 12 | Margate | Provide 12' sidewalks | 1.60 | SR 7 (from Seton Dr to NW 31st St) | | 5 | | 5 | | 5 |
| 13 | Margate | Provide protected bicycle lane with landscaped buffer | 0.40 | SR 7 (from Merrill Rd to Seton Dr) | | 5 | | 5 | | 5 |



| | | | Project | | | | Prioritization Criteria (Notes/Score) | | | |
|-----------|--|---|-------------------|--|---|----------|--|---------|---|----|
| Project # | City | Project Description | Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | I - ROW | | J - Infrastructure Impacts | | K - Community & Stakeholder Inpu | ut |
| 14 | Margate, Coconut Creek | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 1.00 | Copans Rd (from SR 7 to Lyons Rd) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build bicycle lanes except for a pinch point at the approach to Lyons Road. Might need to consider alternative transitions at this location. Additional right-of-way restrictions may be encountered along the north side of Copans Road between Hammocks Blvd and Lyons Road. Might need to widen to the inside in this area and or reduce the lane width, which will may trigger the need to obtain a design exception. | 3 | Widening to accommodate bicycle lanes will impact the existing bus stop shelter at the SE corner of Copans Road and SR 7. Addition of bicycle lanes will reduce the existing drainage storage area which will need to be mitigated by the design team. Widening could impact the location of mast arms at the intersection of Banks Road and/or affect the minimum allowed deflection angles of the intersection. | 3 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. | 3 |
| 15 | Margate | Widen pavement to provide bicycle lanes | 0.40 | Coconut Creek Pkwy (from SR 7 to Banks Rd) | Removed from prioritization process as | subseq | uent field review identified existing bicycle lan | es with | nin this section. | |
| 16 | North Lauderdale | Use a road diet to provide bicycle lanes; potential roundabout at SW 64th | 2.10 | Kimberly Blvd (from SW 81st Ave to SR 7) | | 5 | | 5 | | 5 |
| 17 | Margate | Widen pavement for bicycle lanes or shared lane arrows and widen sidewalks | 0.75 | SW 11th St (from SR 7 to SW 49th Ter) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build bicycle lanes or provide shared use arrows and widen the sidewalk, except for a pinch point at the intersection of SR 7. Might need to consider an alternative transition at this location. | 3 | Addition of bicycle lanes will impact the existing concrete curb and gutter as well as the existing drainage structures which will need to be relocated. A number of existing trees will be impacted by widening the road to construct bicycle lanes. Widening of sidewalks might be restricted at points where power poles are located unless they are relocated. It is possible that many mature trees along the south side of the road will be impacted by the proposed widening. | 3 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. Public outreach will be necessary as the addition of bicycle lanes will impact may private driveways within the proposed project limits. | 3 |
| 18 | Fort Lauderdale, North Lauderdale | Widen pavement to provide bicycle lanes | 1.00 | W Prospect Rd (from SR 7 to NW 31st Ave) | Removed from prioritization process as | this pro | oject is a duplicate to Project #7. | | | |
| 19 | Margate | Add a mid-block crossing with pedestrian hybrid beacon for multi-use trail and wide sidewalks | 0.10 | SR 7 at Cypress Creek Greenway/C-14 Canal | | 5 | Potential traffic impacts will need to be evaluated. | 3 | | 5 |



| | | | Project | | | | Prioritization Criteria (Notes/Score) | | | |
|-----------|--|---|-------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|-----|
| Project # | City | Project Description | Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | I - ROW | | J - Infrastructure Impacts | | K - Community & Stakeholder Inp | out |
| 20 | Davie | Construct sidewalk on east side of SR 7, sidewalk exists on west side of road | 0.65 | SR 7 (from SW 45th St to Oakes Rd/SW 36th St) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build a sidewalk on the east side of the road. | 5 | Addition of a concrete sidewalk will reduce the existing drainage storage area which will need to be mitigated by the design team. Location of proposed sidewalk may trigger the need for installation of curb and gutter at some locations which in turn will impact the existing drainage system. Design team will need to mitigate impacts. A number of existing trees will be impacted by the construction of the proposed sidewalk. | 3 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. | 3 |
| 21 | Davie | Construct wide sidewalk along north side of road | 0.45 | SW 45th St (from the Turnpike to SR 7) | Existing right-of-way does not appear available to construct a continuous sidewalk for the entire project limits as property owned by Griffin Commerce Center (4701 SW 45 St) is adjacent to the existing edge of pavement. Assume right-of-way easement will be provided. | 1 | | 5 | Coordination with property owner required. | 3 |
| 22 | Miramar | Complete gaps to provide sidewalk on north side of road | 0.50 | SW 25th St (from SW 64th Ave to SR 7) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build a sidewalk on the north side of the road. | 5 | Addition of a concrete sidewalk will reduce the existing drainage storage area, which will need to be mitigated by the design team. | 3 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. Public outreach will be necessary as the addition of concrete sidewalk will impact may private driveways within the proposed project limits. | 3 |
| 23 | West Park | Delineate sidewalk from paved parking along north side of road | 0.13 | Hallandale Beach Blvd (from Edmund Rd to SW 58th Ave) | | 5 | | 5 | | 5 |
| 24 | Miramar | Complete sidewalk along north side of road | 0.25 | SW 33rd St (from SW 62nd Ave to SR 7) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build a sidewalk on the north side of the road. | 5 | Addition of a concrete sidewalk will reduce the existing drainage storage area; will need to be mitigated by the design team. | 3 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. | 3 |
| 25 | Fort Lauderdale, North Lauderdale | Complete sidewalk along south side of road | 0.25 | W Prospect Rd (from SR 7 to NW 36th Ave) | Existing right-of-way appears sufficient to build a sidewalk on the south side of the road except for the area next to the exclusive turn lane into NW 36th Ave. If sidewalk connection is desired, the design team could consider the elimination of the turn lane. | 3 | Turn lane elimination might require a traffic study to determine the impacts to traffic on Prospect Rd. Addition of a concrete sidewalk will reduce the existing drainage storage area, which will need to be mitigated by the design team. Several utility poles will be impacted by the proposed sidewalk and will need to be relocated. | 3 | Coordination with SFWMD will most likely be required for drainage permitting purposes. | 3 |



| | | | Project | | | | Prioritization Criteria (Notes/Score) | | |
|-----------|---|--|-------------------|--|--|--------|---|---------|--|
| Project # | City | Project Description | Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | I - ROW | | J - Infrastructure Impacts | | K - Community & Stakeholder Input |
| 26 | Plantation | Provide mid-block crosswalk with pedestrian hybrid beacon, median modifications, and bus stop relocation | 0.10 | SR 7 (north of Broward Boulevard) | | 5 | Potential traffic impacts will need to be evaluated. | 3 | 5 |
| 26A | North Lauderdale | Sidewalk on north side connects to SR 7 via Blvd of Champions | 0.11 | W McNab Rd (from SW 66th Ave to SR 7) | Removed from prioritization process as impacts to existing utilities and drivews | | s insufficient right-of-way to construct this projess points. | ect and | construction would result in significant |
| 27 | Fort Lauderdale, North Lauderdale, Broward County | Sidewalk on south side; connects to SR 7 via ramp sidewalk | 0.70 | W McNab Rd/NW 62nd St (from NW 35th Ave to SR 7) | Removed from prioritization process as | subseq | uent field review identified an existing concret | e sidew | valk as recommended. |



Table 4: Network Connectivity Project Prioritization Scoring

| Project # | City | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | Project Scoring (Formula Output/Total Score by Category) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|---------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | fic Characteristics (A-C) Dema | | tential (D-E) | Critical Link + Safety+ EJ (F-H) | Evaluation Outputs (I-K) | Total Score | Project Rank - Composite | | |
| 1 | Hollywood | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 1.50 | Taft St (from SR 7 to N 40th Ave) | 3 x (0 + 5) = | 15 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 3 + 5 + 3 = 11 | 3 + 3 + 3 = 9 | 41 | 15 | | |
| 2 | West Park, Miramar | Provide shared lane arrows and bicycle lanes | 1.70 | SW 25th St (from SW 62nd Ave to SW 40th Ave) | 1 x (5 + 5) = | 10 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 5 + 3 = 13 | 5 + 5 + 5 = 15 | 44 | 13 | | |
| 3 | West Park, Pembroke Park | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 2.15 | Countyline Rd (from SW 68th Ln to SW 48th Ave) | 5 x (0 + 5) = | 25 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 +5+3 = 13 | 5 + 3 + 3 = 11 | 55 | 6 | | |
| 5 | Davie | Construct a path along the center median of SR-7 between Oakes Rd and the New River Greenway | 0.90 | SR 7 (from Oakes Rd/SW 36th St to New River Greenway Trail) | 5 x (5 + 5) = | 50 | 3 x 1 = | 3 | 3 +5 + 3 = 11 | 3 + 1 + 1 = 5 | 69 | 2 | | |
| 7 | Fort Lauderdale, North Lauderdale | Eliminate 3rd eastbound lane to NW 38th Ave and widen pavement from NW 38th to NW 31st to provide bicycle lanes | 1.00 | W Prospect Rd (from SR 7 to NW 31st Ave) | 1 x (5 + 5) = | 10 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 5 + 3 = 13 | 1+1+3= 5 | 34 | 18 | | |
| 8 | Lauderhill | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 0.55 | NW 16th St (from NW 47th Ave to SR 7) | 1 x (0 + 5) = | 5 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 5 + 5 = 15 | 5 + 3 + 3 = 11 | 37 | 17 | | |
| 9 | Lauderhill | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 0.60 | NW 19th St (from NW 47th Ave to SR 7) | 3 x (0 + 5) = | 15 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 3 + 5 +5 = 13 | 5 + 3 + 3 = 11 | 45 | 11 | | |
| 10 | Lauderhill, Lauderdale Lakes | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 0.87 | NW 26th St (from NW 49th Ave to SR 7) | 3 x (0 + 5) = | 15 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 5 + 5 = 15 | 3 + 3 + 3 = 9 | 45 | 11 | | |
| 11 | Lauderhill, Plantation | Continue trail to NW 31st Ave and enhance SR 7 crossing | 1.10 | Sunrise Blvd Canal (from SR 7 to SW 31st Ave) | 5 x (0 + 0) = | 0 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 3 + 5 = 13 | 3 + 3 + 3 = 9 | 28 | 21 | | |
| 12 | Margate | Provide 12' sidewalks | 1.60 | SR 7 (from Seton Dr to NW 31st St) | 5 x (0 + 5) = | 25 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 5 + 3 = 13 | 5 + 5 + 5 = 15 | 59 | 3 | | |
| 13 | Margate | Provide protected bicycle lane with landscaped buffer | 0.40 | SR 7 (from Merrill Rd to Seton Dr) | 5 x (0 + 5) = | 25 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 5 + 3 = 13 | 5 + 5 + 5 = 15 | 59 | 3 | | |



| Project # | City | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | On Street (From/To) | Project Scoring (Formula Output/Total Score by Category) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|----|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----|-----------------------------|----|-------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | Traffic Characteristics (A-C) | | Demand Potential (D-E) | | Critical Link + Safety+ EJ (F-H) | | Evaluation Outputs (I-K) | | Total Score | Project Rank - Composite |
| 14 | Margate, Coconut Creek | Widen pavement and reduce lane widths (if possible) to provide bicycle lanes | 1.00 | Copans Rd (from SR 7 to Lyons Rd) | 5 x (0 + 5) = | 25 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 5 + 3 = | 13 | 3 + 3 + 3 = | 9 | 53 | 8 |
| 16 | North Lauderdale | Use a road diet to provide bicycle lanes; potential roundabout at SW 64th | 2.10 | Kimberly Blvd (from SW 81st Ave to SR 7) | 3 x (0 + 5) = | 15 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 5 + 3 = | 13 | 5 + 5 + 5 = | 15 | 49 | 9 |
| 17 | Margate | Widen pavement for bicycle lanes or shared lane arrows and widen sidewalks | 0.75 | SW 11th St (from SR 7 to SW 49th Ter) | 1 x (0 + 5) = | 5 | 3 x 1 = | 3 | 3 + 5 +3 = | 11 | 3 + 3 +3 = | 9 | 28 | 21 |
| 19 | Margate | Add a id-block crossing with pedestrian hybrid beacon for multi-use trail and wide sidewalks | 0.10 | SR 7 at Cypress Creek Greenway/C-14 Canal | 5 x (5 + 5) = | 50 | 3 x 1 = | 3 | 5 + 3 +5 = | 13 | 5 + 3 +5 = | 13 | 79 | 1 |
| 20 | Davie | Construct sidewalk on east side of SR 7, sidewalk exists on west side of road | 0.65 | SR 7 (from SW 45th St to Oakes Rd/SW 36th St) | 5 x (3 + 0) = | 15 | 3 x 1 = | 3 | 5 + 5 +3 = | 13 | 5 + 3 +3 = | 11 | 42 | 14 |
| 21 | Davie | Construct wide sidewalk along north side of road | 0.45 | SW 45th St (from the Turnpike to SR 7) | 1 x (5 + 5) = | 10 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 3 + 0 + 3 = | 6 | 1 + 5 + 3 = | 9 | 31 | 20 |
| 22 | Miramar | Complete gaps to provide sidewalk on north side of road | 0.50 | SW 25th St (from SW 64th Ave to SR 7) | 1 x (5 + 5) = | 10 | 1 x 2 = | 2 | 5 + 0 + 5 = | 10 | 5 + 3 + 3 = | 11 | 33 | 19 |
| 23 | West Park | Delineate sidewalk from paved parking along north side of road | 0.13 | Hallandale Beach Blvd (from Edmund Rd to SW 58th Ave) | 5 x (3 + 0) = | 15 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5 + 3 + 5 = | 13 | 5 + 5 + 5 = | 15 | 49 | 9 |
| 24 | Miramar | Complete sidewalk along north side of road | 0.25 | SW 33rd St (from SW 62nd Ave to SR 7) | 1 x (5 + 5) = | 10 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 3+5+5 = | 13 | 5 + 3 + 3 = | 11 | 40 | 16 |
| 25 | Fort Lauderdale, North Lauderdale | Complete sidewalk along south side of road | 0.25 | W Prospect Rd (from SR 7 to NW 36th Ave) | 3 x (5 + 5) = | 30 | 3 x 1 = | 3 | 3+5+5 = | 13 | 3 + 3 + 3 = | 9 | 55 | 6 |
| 26 | Plantation | Provide mid-block pedestrian hybrid beacon, median modifications, and bus stop relocation | 0.10 | SR 7 (north of Broward Boulevard) | 5 x (0 + 5) = | 25 | 3 x 2 = | 6 | 5+3+5 = | 13 | 5 + 3 + 5 = | 13 | 57 | 5 |